

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,284	07/22/2003	Lee Deuk Su	405300	7898
27717	7590 11/15/2004		EXAMINER	
SEYFARTH SHAW			NGUYEN, HOAN C	
55 EAST MO SUITE 4200	NROE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IL 60603-5803		2871	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			lin
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/625,284	SU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regist of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repply within the statutory minimum of thirty divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI te, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or o	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	, -,	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.	.,,,	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
 Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Burea 	•	eceived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date : Implication (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, drawn to an active matrix liquid crystal panel with a repairable configuration, classified in class 349, subclass 54.
- II. Claims 7-9, drawn to a method for repairing an active matrix liquid crystal panel, classified in class 349, subclass 192.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, an active matrix liquid crystal panel with a repairable configuration (invention I) can be made by different process in which the scanning lines 23 and the first common lines can be <u>formed on the same surface or on different surfaces</u>.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-2296.

HOAN C. NGUYEN

Examiner Art Unit 2871

chn

October 6, 2004

ARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY